

Chap. 9 Test Review

Note Title

3/29/2016

1) Surgery Splitting

Success $x_1 = 67$ $x_2 = 60$

Total $n_1 = 73$ $n_2 = 83$

Ref. ck.

Simple Random Sample
indep.

67, 60, 6, 23 ≥ 5

$H_0: p_1 = p_2, \quad H_1: p_1 > p_2$

$$\bar{p} = \frac{67 + 60}{73 + 83} = \frac{127}{156} = 0.8141 \leftarrow \hat{p} \text{ from}$$

2-Prop Z Test

$\alpha = 0.01$

2 - Prop Z Test

$$Z = \frac{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\bar{p}\bar{q}\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}} = 3.1226$$

$$P\text{-Value} = 0.0008965 < 0.01$$

Reject H_0

There is sufficient evidence to support the claim that treatment with open carpal tunnel release surgery result in better outcome than wrist splinting.

2) Reg. ck.

190, 186 > 30, simple random sample
indep.

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2, \quad H_1: \mu_1 < \mu_2$$

$$\alpha = 0.05$$

2-Samp T Test

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2 - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}} = -2.9084$$

$$P\text{-Value} = 0.001925 < 0.05$$

Reject H_0

There is sufficient evidence to support the claim that the prenatal cocaine exposure is associated with lower scores of 4-yr-old children on the test of object assembly.

3) Reg. ck.

Normal Distribution

2 paired dependent population

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 \quad H_1: \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$$

||

$$H_0: \mu_d = 0, \quad H_1: \mu_d \neq 0$$

$$\alpha = 0.05$$

T-Test

$$t = \sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{d} - \mu_d}{S_d} = 1.5319,$$

$P\text{-Value} = 0.1565 > 0.05$

Fail to reject H_0 .

There is sufficient evidence to support the claim that there is no difference between the yields from the two types of seed.

4) Reg. ck.

Normal Distribution, 2 indept. populations

$$H_0: \sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2^2, \quad H_1: \sigma_1^2 \neq \sigma_2^2$$

$$\alpha = 0.05$$

$$F = \frac{S_1^2}{S_2^2} = \frac{0.03910^2}{0.01648^2} = 5.6291 \quad \text{2-Samp F Test}$$

$$P\text{-Value} = 0.000001293 < 0.05$$

Reject H_0

There is sufficient evidence to reject the claim that pre-1983 and post-1983 pennies have the same amount of variation in weight.

5)

Blind Eval.

Non-Blind

Accept

26.7% 3525

29% 3896

Total

13200

13433

$$13200 (26.7\%) = 3524.4 \rightarrow 3525$$

$$13433 (29\%) = 3895.57 \rightarrow 3896$$

$$x_1 = 3525, \quad n_1 = 13200$$

$$x_2 = 3896, \quad n_2 = 13433$$

Reg. CK :

2 indept populations

all success # and failure # are ≥ 5

Simple random samples.

$$H_0: p_1 = p_2, \quad H_1: p_1 \neq p_2$$

$$\alpha = 0.01$$

2 - Prop Z Test

$$z = \frac{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2 - (p_1 - p_2)}{\sqrt{\bar{p}\bar{q}\left(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2}\right)}} = -4.1835$$

$$P\text{-Value} = 0.00002872 < 0.01$$

Reject H_0 .

There is sufficient evidence to reject the claim that the acceptance rate is the same with or without blinding.

6) Ref. ck.

Normal Distribution
2 indep. populations
Simple Random Sample

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2, \quad H_1: \mu_1 > \mu_2$$

$$\alpha = 0.02$$

2 - Samp T Test

$$t = \frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2 - (\mu_1 - \mu_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{S_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{S_2^2}{n_2}}} = 5.5294$$

$$P\text{-Value} = 0.00001518 < 0.02$$

Reject H_0

There is sufficient evidence to support the claim that "Harry Potter" is easier to read than "War and Peace".

7) Reg. ck:

2 dependent paired population

Difference data is approximately normal
(only 1 outlier)

$$H_0: \mu_d = 0, \quad H_1: \mu_d < 0$$

$$\alpha = 0.01$$

T-Test

$$t = \sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{d} - \mu_d}{s_d} = -3.1560$$

$$p\text{-Value} = 0.006738 < 0.01$$

Reject H_0

There is sufficient evidence to support the claim that diet is effective in losing weight.

8) Reg. Ck :

Normal Distribution

2 indept. populations

Simple random samples

$$H_0: \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \quad H_1: \sigma_1 \neq \sigma_2$$

$$\alpha = 0.05$$

$$\text{taking } s_1 = 4.5, \quad s_2 = 3.6$$

$$n_1 = 65, \quad n_2 = 64$$

2-Samp F Test

$$F = \frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2} = \frac{4.5^2}{3.6^2} = 1.5625$$

$$P\text{-Value} = 0.07804 > 0.05$$

Fail to reject H_0 .

There is sufficient evidence to support the claim that the standard deviation of heavy marijuana users and that of light users is about the same.