College Prep Stats Chapter 5 Project

In this Mock Trial project, your project team members (2 Attorneys and 1 Judge and/or 1 Assistant) will analyze the observed result by applying the "Range Rule of Thumb" and the mean and standard deviation of Binomial Probability Distribution to verify the observed result is usual or unusual. Then the Judge will draw the conclusion to favor in one party. When the project team does the presentation, the team needs to share their screen to all other students in the Google Classroom. This is a group project with minimum of 3 and maximum of 4 students.

Case 1: Juan Torres is an American of Mexican ancestry. He has been convicted of murder in Hidalgo County. His team of lawyers is appealing the conviction on the grounds of an unfair trial. The official complaint filed states:

"Mr. Torres was not given a fair trial. Hidalgo County had 229,500 registered voters at the time of selection, 181,535 of which are of Mexican ancestry. However, of the 870 people on the grand jury for the case, only 339 were of Mexican ancestry. Furthermore, the 12-person jury that tried the actual case was comprised of only 7 people of Mexican ancestry."

Your Job:

- State's Attorney: Use the above information to formulate a statistics-based argument for why the conviction should stand. Any calculations should be supported with written work that can be shown to the audience.
- Defense Attorney: Use the above information to formulate a statistics-based argument for why the conviction should be thrown out. Any calculations should be supported with written work that can be shown to the audience.
- Judge: Analyze the information objectively. Determine a procedure for how the trial will run and how the ultimate decision will be made. You will facilitate the process, if you lose control of your courtroom, it will be reflected in your score. Note: Your research is meant to educate you on the case and allow you to determine the validity of the attorney's arguments. Your decision should not be made before the trial is heard.

Case 2: Anthony Briggioni is a resident of Los Angeles, California. He was recently a contestant on a game show that involved spinning a wheel that contained 26 evenly sized slots. The premise of the game is that the contestant spins the wheel 50 times and adds up the total of each of the 50 spins. If, however, he hits one of the 5 "Bankrupt" slots, his total goes back down to 0. Mr. Briggioni is suing the TVP television network. His complaint is given below:

"Mr. Briggioni recently earned a chance to play 'Spin the Wheel.' He signed a contract that stated, among other things, that each of the 26 slots on the wheel would have an equal probability of occurring. Based on the 16 times that he landed on a Bankrupt slot, we believe that the game was unfair and he is entitled to compensation."

- Mr. Briggioni's Attorney: Use the above information to formulate a statistics-based argument for why the game
 is unfair and what type of compensation should be made. Any calculations should be supported with written
 work that can be shown to the audience.
- TVP Attorney: Use the above information to formulate a statistics-based argument for why the game should still be considered fair. Be prepared to counter the amount of money the opposing attorney suggests you should compensate their client. Any calculations should be supported with written work that can be shown to the audience.
- Judge: Analyze the information objectively. Determine a procedure for how the trial will run and how the ultimate decision will be made. You will facilitate the process, if you lose control of your courtroom, it will be reflected in your score. Note: Your research is meant to educate you on the case and allow you to determine the validity of the attorney's arguments. Your decision should not be made before the trial is heard.

Attorney:

Topic	3	2	0
Research	The group uses their	The group gets work	The group poorly
	time appropriately.	done but could have	utilizes their time.
		done more, or prevents	
		others from working.	
Calculations	The group performs	The group performs	The group does not
	appropriate and	appropriate calculation	perform any
	accurate calculations.	with minimal flaws; or	appropriate
		The group performs	calculations; or all
		some appropriate	calculations are very
		calculations accurately.	wrong.
Arguments	The group makes	The group makes	The group makes
	appropriate arguments	appropriate arguments	mostly inappropriate
	supported with	buck lack statistical	arguments.
	statistical evidence.	support.	
Organization	The group organizes	The group has all of the	The group is unable to
	their information and is	information they need,	access their information
	easily able to access it	but are not able to	throughout the trial.
	during the trial.	quickly access it	
		consistently.	
Participation	Every member of the	Group members take	Group members do not
and Professionalism	group participates in a	the assignment	take the assignment
	professional manner.	seriously with a few	seriously.
		inappropriate	
		comments/actions.	

Judge:

Topic	3	2	0
Research	The group uses their	The group gets work	The group poorly
	time appropriately.	done but could have	utilizes their time.
		done more, or prevents	
		others from working.	
Calculations	The group performs	The group performs	The group does not
	appropriate and	appropriate calculation	perform any
	accurate calculations.	with minimal flaws; or	appropriate
		The group performs	calculations; or all
		some appropriate	calculations are very
		calculations accurately.	wrong.
Facilitation	The group maintains	The group has minor	The group fails to
	order and designs an	flaws in order and/or	maintain order
	appropriate process	process design	
Ruling	The group makes an	The group has minor	The group has a very
	appropriate decision	flaws in their	inappropriate ruling
	and supports it using	justification.	and/or justification
	evidence presented		
Participation	Every member of the	Group members take	Group members do not
and Professionalism	group participates in a	the assignment	take the assignment
	professional manner.	seriously with a few	seriously.
		inappropriate	
		comments/actions.	